

Local Government
OMBUDSMAN

**The Local Government Ombudsman's
Annual Review**

Portsmouth City Council

**for the year ended
31 March 2010**

Local Government Ombudsmen (LGOs) provide a free, independent and impartial service. We consider complaints about the administrative actions of councils and some other authorities. We cannot question what a council has done simply because someone does not agree with it. If we find something has gone wrong, such as poor service, service failure, delay or bad advice, and that a person has suffered as a result, we aim to get it put right by recommending a suitable remedy. We also use the findings from investigation work to help authorities provide better public services through initiatives such as special reports, training and annual reviews.

Contents of Annual Review

Section 1: Complaints about Portsmouth City Council 2009/10	3
Introduction.....	3
Enquiries and complaints received	3
Complaint outcomes	3
Liaison with the Local Government Ombudsman.....	4
Training in complaint handling	4
Conclusions	4
Section 2: LGO developments	5
Introduction.....	5
New schools complaints service launched.....	5
Adult social care: new powers from October.....	5
Council first.....	5
Training in complaint handling	6
Statements of reasons	6
Delivering public value.....	6
Appendix 1: Notes to assist interpretation of the statistics 2009/10	7
Appendix 2: Local authority report 2009/10	

Section 1: Complaints about Portsmouth City Council 2009/10

Introduction

This annual review provides a summary of the complaints we have dealt with about Portsmouth City council. We have included comments on the authority's performance and complaint-handling arrangements, where possible, so they can assist with your service improvement.

I hope that the review will be a useful addition to other information your authority holds on how people experience or perceive your services.

Two appendices form an integral part of this review: statistical data for 2009/10 and a note to help the interpretation of the statistics.

Enquiries and complaints received

In 2009/10 we received 51 enquiries and complaints compared to 63 in 2008/09. Of these eight were about adult care services, nine about transport and highways, six about housing, five about public finance and planning and building control, three about education and two each about children and family services and benefits. The remaining 11 were about such matters as anti-social behaviour or leisure and culture.

A total of 31 were passed to the investigative team (24 new complaints and seven resubmitted premature complaints). We treated 15 complaints as premature and either referred them to the council or advised the complainant to make a complaint direct. In a further five cases we gave the complainant advice.

This compares with 63 complaints and enquiries in 2008/09, 28 of which were forwarded to the investigative team. We expect to see slight fluctuations of this kind over time.

Complaint outcomes

We decided 28 complaints against the council during the year. In 15 cases we found no evidence of maladministration, and three complaints were outside jurisdiction. In four cases we exercised discretion not to investigate further. Typically these are cases where even though there may have been some fault by the council there is no significant injustice to the complainant.

Local settlements

A 'local settlement' is a complaint where, during the course of our investigation, a council takes or agrees to take some action that we consider to be a satisfactory response to the complaint. In 2009/10, 26.96% of all complaints the Ombudsmen decided and which were within our jurisdiction were local settlements. Of the complaints we decided against your authority six were local settlements, representing 21.4% of complaints decided. We recommended that the council should pay a total of just £150 in compensation in 2009/10.

Two complaints related to arrangements which were introduced in 2003 for residents' parking schemes. They included a requirement for an annual review that did not happen. The two complainants were prevented from making representations in respect of the requirement that parking permits would not be issued to vehicles registered to an address outside the controlled

parking zone. The council agreed to carry out a review and to do so annually and in addition it made a new Traffic Order which allowed wider public comment.

A complaint by a neighbourhood association concerned a planning application for demolition of lock-up garages and replacement with a car park. The council accepted that some of the information presented to committee about parking arrangements was, unintentionally, misleading and agreed to pay compensation of £100 to the association for the inconvenience they were put to.

In another complaint the council did not regularly recharge a community centre for heating and hot water. This meant that the centre was unclear about the costs incurred. The council agreed to institute regular billing and to pay compensation of £50.

Liaison with the Local Government Ombudsman

We made formal enquiries on 20 complaints this year and the council took an average of 25.1 days to reply, which is a further improvement on the time in 2008/09, and well within the 28 day target I set for councils.

Training in complaint handling

I would like to take this opportunity to remind the council that part of our role is to provide advice and guidance about good administrative practice. We offer training courses for all levels of local authority staff in complaints handling and investigation. All courses are presented by experienced investigators. They give participants the opportunity to practise the skills needed to deal with complaints positively and efficiently. We can also provide customised courses to help authorities to deal with particular issues and occasional open courses for individuals from different authorities.

I have enclosed some information on the full range of courses available together with contact details for enquiries and bookings.

Conclusions

I welcome this opportunity to give you my reflections about the complaints my office has dealt with over the past year. I hope that you find the information and assessment provided useful when seeking improvements to your authority's services.

**Dr Jane Martin
Local Government Ombudsman
The Oaks No 2
Westwood Way
Westwood Business Park
Coventry
CV4 8JB**

June 2010

Section 2: LGO developments

Introduction

This annual review also provides an opportunity to bring councils up to date on developments in the LGO and to seek feedback.

New schools complaints service launched

In April 2010 we launched the first pilot phase of a complaints service extending our jurisdiction to consider parent and pupil complaints about state schools in four local authority areas. This power was introduced by the Apprenticeships, Skills, Children and Learning Act 2009.

The first phase involves schools in Barking and Dagenham, Cambridgeshire, Medway and Sefton. The Secretary of State no longer considers complaints about schools in these areas. In September the schools in a further 10 local authority areas are set to join the pilot phase.

We are working closely with colleagues in the pilot areas and their schools, including providing training and information sessions, to shape the design and delivery of the new service. It is intended that by September 2011 our jurisdiction will cover all state schools in England.

A new team in each office now deals with all complaints about children's services and education on behalf of the Ombudsman. Arrangements for cooperation with Ofsted on related work areas have been agreed.

For further information see the new schools pages on our website at www.lgo.org.uk/schools/

Adult social care: new powers from October

The Health Act 2009 extended the Ombudsmen's powers to investigate complaints about privately arranged and funded adult social care. These powers come into effect from 1 October 2010 (or when the Care Quality Commission has re-registered all adult care providers undertaking regulated activity). Provision of care that is arranged by an individual and funded from direct payments comes within this new jurisdiction.

Each Ombudsman has set up a team to deal with all adult social care complaints on their behalf. We expect that many complaints from people who have arranged and funded their care will involve the actions of both the local authority and the care provider. We are developing information-sharing agreements with the Care Quality Commission and with councils in their roles as adult safeguarding leads and service commissioners.

Council first

We introduced our Council first procedure in April last year. With some exceptions, we require complainants to go through all stages of a council's own complaints procedure before we will consider the complaint. It aims to build on the improved handling of complaints by councils.

We are going to research the views of people whose complaints have been referred to councils as premature. We are also still keen to hear from councils about how the procedure is working, particularly on the exception categories. Details of the categories of complaint that are normally treated as exceptions are on our website at www.lgo.org.uk/guide-for-advisers/council-response

Training in complaint handling

Demand for our training in complaint handling has remained high, with 118 courses delivered over the year to 53 different authorities. Our core Effective Complaint Handling course is still the most popular – we ran some of these as open courses for groups of staff from different authorities. These are designed to assist those authorities that wish to train small numbers of staff and give them an opportunity to share ideas and experience with other authorities.

The new Effective Complaint Handling in Adult Social Care course, driven by the introduction of the new statutory complaints arrangements in health and adult social care in April 2009, was also popular. It accounted for just over a third of bookings.

Over the next year we intend to carry out a thorough review of local authority training needs to ensure that the programme continues to deliver learning outcomes that improve complaint handling by councils.

Statements of reasons

Last year we consulted councils on our broad proposals for introducing statements of reasons on the individual decisions of an Ombudsman following the investigation of a complaint. We received very supportive and constructive feedback on the proposals, which aim to provide greater transparency and increase understanding of our work. Since then we have been carrying out more detailed work, including our new powers. We intend to introduce the new arrangements in the near future.

Delivering public value

We hope this information gives you an insight into the major changes happening within the LGO, many of which will have a direct impact on your authority. We will keep you up to date through LGO Link as each development progresses, but if there is anything you wish to discuss in the meantime please let me know.

Mindful of the current economic climate, financial stringencies and our public accountability, we are determined to continue to increase the efficiency, cost-effectiveness and public value of our work.

Dr Jane Martin
Local Government Ombudsman
The Oaks No 2
Westwood Way
Westwood Business Park
Coventry
CV4 8JB

June 2010

LGO Advice Team

Enquiries and complaints received	Adult care services	Children and family services	Education	Housing	Benefits	Public Finance inc. Local Taxation	Planning and building control	Transport and highways	Other	Total
Formal/informal premature complaints	2	0	0	1	1	2	1	4	4	15
Advice given	1	0	0	1	1	2	0	0	0	5
Forwarded to investigative team (resubmitted prematures)	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	4	7
Forwarded to investigative team (new)	5	1	3	3	0	0	4	5	3	24
Total	8	2	3	6	2	5	5	9	11	51

Investigative Team

Decisions	MI reps	LS	M reps	NM reps	No mal	Omb disc	Outside jurisdiction	Total
	2009 / 2010	0	6	0	0	15	4	3

Average local authority resp times 01/04/2009 to 31/03/2010

Response times	FIRST ENQUIRIES	
	No. of First Enquiries	Avg no. of days to respond
1/04/2009 / 31/03/2010	20	25.1
2008 / 2009	17	27.2
2007 / 2008	27	31.0

Types of authority	<= 28 days %	29 - 35 days %	> = 36 days %
District Councils	61	22	17
Unitary Authorities	68	26	6
Metropolitan Authorities	70	22	8
County Councils	58	32	10
London Boroughs	52	36	12
National Parks Authorities	60	20	20